Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

 1. the CoC Application,
 2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
 3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

 As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

 1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
 2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
 3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
 4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
 5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

 Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
 - 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
 - 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.
 Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
 Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

 Attachments
 Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.
 - Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
 - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you
in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care
Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal
and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: SD-500 - South Dakota Statewide CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: South Dakota Housing Development Authority

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: South Dakota Housing Development Authority

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
	2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
	3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium (SDHHC) is SD-500 CoC and the CoC webpage posting and other publications including press releases invite anyone with an interest in homelessness to become a member of the CoC. SDHHC members are encouraged to invite the participation of new organizations and individuals via phone, email, face to face meetings or by providing SDHHC business cards and contact information. The invitations are to participate in the quarterly meetings, become part of an email list-serve or to serve as a liaison for specific purposes including committee membership. The message for invitation is inclusion. The only membership requirement is an interest in addressing homelessness. CoC members are requested to follow-up on inquiries received and extend invitations. 2) The CoC seeks to meet all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. All reasonable accommodations are made to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities. Effective communication will be provided but not limited to assistive listening devices, audio, and sign language. 3.) The CoC solicits new members and participation from folks that are currently experiencing homelessness and that have lived homelessness experience. The YAB membership has been particularly effective with targeting Transitional Age Youth via personal invitations. The YAB membership includes membership representing Black, Latino, Indigenous, LBGTQ+, and persons with disabilities. Equity related issues and discussions has been a major focus of YAB activities and supported by YHDP TA. The CoC has contacted the 9 federally recognized tribes in the state via email, letters, and phone calls. The CoC conducted 4 Tribal and CoC consultations this past year and invited Tribal governments and agencies, and Tribal members and equity has been a major part of these sessions. The CoC has an active Native American formal Committee that meets monthly.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
	2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
	3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) In person quarterly meetings are held of the full membership of CoC in a specified location but attendees are also usually able to participate via on-line platform or conference call. Members are encouraged to submit agenda items and actively participate in meeting discussion. Quarterly meetings are advertised via email and CoC website. The agendas and power point presentations are posted on CoC web page and the meetings are open to the public and anyone interested is encouraged to attend. 2.) Throughout the year, press releases to highlight activities and events (such as the PIT Count) occurring across the state are distributed. The press releases include contact information and an invitation for others to become involved. The CoC has periodically conducted surveys of members, service agencies and the general public in order to solicit opinions and ideas and gain additional understanding of the needs statewide. The CoC provides a web page, email contact, and toll free number for interested people and groups to make inquiries and to provide information. Community meetings are conducted throughout the year on important topics like Tribal Homelessness. 3.) This CoC's diverse membership provides connections to multiple subpopulations and the information gathered in public meetings and via tools like surveys is considered highly valuable. This information is provided to the CoC governing Board for creation of policy and procedures. The quarterly meetings of consortium including time for what we call "Town Hall." This is a time when individuals and organizations may start discussions on topics of importance to them or address concerns openly. Members may use the designated Town Hall segment to discuss ideas for improvements or suggest new approaches and problem solving.

	1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
	1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
	2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;
	3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
	4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) Notification was provided that the CoC would consider project applicants from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding by Press Release and also posted to CoC website. This also a topic of quarterly meetings of the CoC. 2.) The information about how projects must submit their applications was provided on the CoC webpage, and is part of the CoC Rating and Ranking Tool, and provided CoC email list serve via email. 3.) The CoC Governing Board approves, reduces, or rejects applications for CoC funding based on the rating and ranking of all applications submitted by use of the CoC scoring tool the CoC Board approved for the competition. 4.) The CoC seeks to meet all requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. All reasonable accommodations are made to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities. Effective communication is provided including assistive listening devices, electronic formats, audio, language interpretation, and sign language.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:	
1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
2.	select Nonexistentif the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Nonexistent
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;	
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;	
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and	
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) South Dakota Housing Development Authority (SDHDA) is the CoC Collaborative Applicant and lead agency of SD-500 CoC. SDHDA's Rental Housing Development Department staff, are responsible for administering ESG and CoC programs statewide. This organizational structure allows for streamlined communication between CoC and ESG programs for planning and allocating funds, overseeing PIT and HIC data, and completing the statewide Consolidation Plan. SDHDA solicits input from ESG recipients by holding public meetings prior to drafting the ESG allocation plan. The meetings and a public comment process are used to gather questions, concerns, and proposed changes to the programs administered by SDHDA. In addition, ESG recipients regularly attend and participate in CoC quarterly meetings and sub committees. Attendance at and discussions during the CoC meetings, allows CoC recipients, ESG ESG-CV recipients, CoC board and other SDHHC members the opportunity to evaluate impact that the existing homeless programs are having, evaluate changes that may be necessary to strengthen statewide efforts and build consensus among SDHHC. 2.) Two members of CoC Governing Board also serve on the ESG review team to assess data quality, grant compliance, and allocate ESG funds. ESG Administrator monitors ESG grantees performance and reports to ESG review team and completes required federal reporting. SDHDA staff completes on site monitoring and financial oversight of ESG Program recipients and reviews HMIS data quality reports. 3.) SDHDA is the lead agency for SD-500 Statewide CoC and completes the consolidated plan. This results in close and strong coordination on PIT & HIC Count data. Meetings and a public comment process are used to gather questions, concerns, and proposed changes to the programs. 4.) The CoC provided data including PIT and HIC and other data to the Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions with the CoC's geographic area. The data was provided via public meetings, CoC website postings, and requests for data are responded to by CoC admin. providing data via email.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	Yes
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	**CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	**Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has a formal MOU agreement with the South Dakota Head Start Association (SDHSA). The MOU describes how the CoC and SDHSA cooperate to promote and increase early childhood education, advocacy, children's health, and family well-being for people experiencing homelessness, formerly homeless, and those at risk of becoming homeless in South Dakota that meet the Head Start eligibility criteria.

1C-4b.	**Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC policy in regards to educational eligibility for individuals and families that become homeless is to work to educate service providers of the rights students and families have to an education. The CoC invites the participation of educators to local and state meetings of the CoC. The CoC includes educators on the CoC email list serve. The CoC partners working directly with persons experiencing homelessness are then able to provide accurate information and advocacy for families and individuals experiencing homelessness. The CoC has sponsored McKinney-Vento educational speakers to address the membership, and the speakers have presented to CoC membership and provided education related information including eligibility criteria. The CoC engaged with the state McKinney-Vento personnel from the SD Dept. of Education who have also attend the CoC quarterly meetings and CoC Youth Committee meetings.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors–Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC completed annual training for Coordinated Entry System (CE) staff and CoC funded project staff. The CoC coordinated with service providers for training on Trauma Informed Care and victim services providers have been consulted regularly throughout CES development and implementation to address the needs of DV survivors within CE statewide. COC procedures require agencies to have safety plans and protocols in place to address safety concerns associated with domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. The CoC has provided information to projects and supported training promoting information available via webinar and print media on issues specific with the intersection of homelessness and domestic violence. The involvement of domestic violence service providers in the CoC provides opportunity for other agencies to be aware of and learn best practices in providing assistance for victims. The relationships established between the agencies brings awareness and the desire to ensure coordination occurs. Victim Service providers are CoC Governing Board members and help to formulate CoC-wide policies for providing housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. 2.)Trauma Informed Care is an integral part of CoC Coordinated Entry System (CE) yearly training. CoC and ESG funded agencies are required to have safety plans in place as part of CE Policies and Procedures. CE Participants have choice in housing and services. The CE workflow takes into account victim/survivor concerns and all participant needs for safety and confidentiality.

| 1C-5a. | Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. | |
| | NOFO Section VII.B.1.e. | |

		Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:
	1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
	2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) People fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence and victims of trafficking have safe and confidential access to the CES processes and victim services, immediate access to emergency services domestic violence hotline and shelters. Households do not have to access the CES system in order to access crisis services including emergency shelter. Access points specifically assess for specific needs in the domestic violence screening and the triage assessment part of the CE process. All CES access points and assessors complete trauma informed training specific to the needs of households experiencing domestic violence stalking, human trafficking or sexual assault at minimum on an annual basis. Minimum safety planning must include a threshold assessment for presence of participant's safety needs and referral to appropriate trauma-informed services if safety needs are identified. Participants will not be denied access to CES on the basis that participant is a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking. CE service providers must provide necessary safety and security protections for families fleeing or attempting to flee family violence, stalking, dating violence, or other domestic violence situations. Households can elect to use de-identifying information in CE enrolments; additionally all CE end users and stakeholders participating in the CE process (including case conferencing) are bound by confidentially in all communication, written and verbal, and are bound by CE Policy and Procedure as well as HMIS data standards to ensure confidentiality of client information. The CoC Administrator works directly with project staff via the CoC Grantee work group to disseminate trauma informed information at least yearly and the CES Administrator also conducts training on Trauma informed care at least yearly. 2.) CES Administrator is responsible for training CE. Annual formal training is completed.

| | 1C-5b. | Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. | |
| | | NOFO Section VII.B.1.e. | |

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
	2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC utilizes a comparable HMIS data base which is separate from the HMIS utilized by the non-DV agencies. The DV comparable data base uses de-identified aggregate data to assess the special needs related to domestic violence, dating violence and sexual assault and stalking, Human Trafficking. The ESG grantees involved in serving victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking record data in a comparable data base that is a separate from HMIS. By utilizing the HMIS workflow the CoC is capturing the same data elements as CoC recipients which makes comparison of information easier. The data is used to complete reports for ESG program compliance purposes, as a tool for individual projects to measure and analyze various data over time, and in the process of allocating resources based upon community needs. 2.) The data utilized for determination of community needs are the number of people being served, length of stay, and the funding amount that is being utilized for homelessness prevention, rapid re-housing and shelter operations. In addition it is helpful to use the data for household size, age demographics and identified services that are utilized. This data is then compared to available financial resources and other community resources for identification of best practices, proper allocation of funds and targeted areas of need. The data can also be compared community by community to see differences and trends between communities.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.		
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.		

	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) SDHDA is the lead agency for SD-500 CoC, and is the Collaborative Applicant for South Dakota's Continuum of Care, in accordance with the federal Violence Against Women Act at 42 U.S.C. 13925 and 42 U.S.C. 14043e et seq. (VAWA) and the implementing regulations for VAWA at 24 CFR Part 5, Subpart L., is required by law to extend special concerns and efforts to and on behalf of a certain class of tenants who live in permanent or transitional housing located within the State of South Dakota, each of whom benefit from or are supported by funding provided by programs of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) such persons, collectively, (Eligible Persons). VAWA provides certain protections for tenants who are victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. VAWA protections are not limited only to women but, instead, are available equally to all qualifying individuals. 2.) To request an emergency transfer, the Eligible Person follows the procedures listed for the particular HUD Program that provides the Eligible Person's housing. The Eligible Person should be prepared to present the covered housing provider the written documentation referred to in the Emergency Transfer Request Documentation section of policy and procedures. Information specific to each program is listed in the Eligible Person's lease and/or notice for reference. If an Eligible Person requests an internal transfer, as defined in the Implementing Regulations, the covered housing provider will facilitate the provision of VAWA protections for the Eligible Person as set out in the program-specific regulations. If an Eligible Person requests an external transfer, as defined in the Implementing Regulations – whether the transfer would be out of a covered housing provider's project, or into a covered housing provider's project – the covered housing provider will assist the Eligible Person in the provision of a safe and available unit for the Eligible Person. SDHDA is the CoC Collaborative Applicant and may also coordinate with covered housing providers that are providing HUD Program-Supported Housing to develop their emergency transfer plans, to make referrals, and to facilitate emergency transfers to safe and available unit.

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

People fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence and victims of trafficking have safe and confidential access to the CES processes and victim services, immediate access to emergency services domestic violence hotline and shelters. Households do not have to access the CES system in order to access crisis services including emergency shelter. Access points specifically assess for specific needs in the domestic violence screening and the triage assessment part of the CE process. All CES access points and assessors complete trauma informed training specific to the needs of households experiencing domestic violence stalking, human trafficking or sexual assault at minimum on an annual basis. Minimum safety planning must include a threshold assessment for presence of participant's safety needs and referral to appropriate trauma-informed services if safety needs are identified. Participants will not be denied access to CES on the basis that participant is a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking. CE service providers must provide necessary safety and security protections for families fleeing or attempting to flee family violence, stalking, dating violence, or other domestic violence situations. Households can elect to use de-identifying information in CE enrolments; additionally all CE end users and stakeholders participating in the CE process (including case conferencing) are bound by confidentially in all communication, written and verbal and are bound by CE Policy and Procedure as well as HMIS data standards to ensure confidentiality of client information.

	1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:
	1.	safety protocols,
	2.	planning protocols, and
	3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The SD-500 CoC Coordinated Entry System (CES) provides training annually for all CES assessors to address the needs of households experiencing domestic violence, sexual assault or human trafficking. Minimum safety planning includes assessment of safety needs and referral to trauma-informed services. This includes confidential access to the CES, victim services, immediate access to emergency services including the state-wide domestic violence hotline and shelters. This includes the option to connect directly to a victim service provider without CES enrollment. To maintain confidentiality; de-identifying information can be used to protect household location and identity. Households can access emergency shelter, independent of the operating hours of the CES intake and assessment process. 2.) The CoC engages with Victim services providers and the South Dakota Network Against Domestic Violence when planning and creating protocols. 3.) CES access points are to provide safe and confidential areas for individuals to identify sensitive information or safety issues in a private setting. Housing options are based upon assessed vulnerability and preferences. Households may seek non- victim specific housing and services referrals via CES. Households consent verbally at the time of enrollment to have their household information shared at case conferencing for the purposes of determining appropriate housing match and referral, which can be revoked at any time. Conditions of release of information and specific safety protocols are documented in the CES enrollment record. Victim services agencies may participate in CES and are encouraged to do so on a voluntary basis including regional case conferencing meetings, accepting housing referrals, and assisting with access to CES while adequately providing for the safety and confidentiality of households. Victim services agencies receiving ESG funding are encouraged to also serve non-victims as their organizational capacity allows.

1C-6.		Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	No
	3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	No

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;	
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;	
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and	

4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.)Yes, the CoC Governing Board formally reviews each year, and updates as necessary, the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policies, and the CoC seeks stakeholder feedback in these processes including input from CoC grantees, and CES Committee members, among others. 2.) The CoC has a CoC Grantee Work Group that has representation from all CoC funded projects and meets monthly. The CoC assisted the CoC grantees in developing project level anti-discrimination policies. 3.) The CoC Governing Board reviews all CoC policies and procedures documents each year. The CoC has a HUD Approved CoC Governance Charter and HMIS Governance Charter and these documents were reviewed, updated, and approved by the CoC Governing Board as part of the HMIS NOFA process. HUD TA was very helpful in these processes. 4.) The CoC has a formal Governing Board approved CoC Grantee Monitoring Policy and Plan. Issues of discrimination discovered via project monitoring are addressed via the CoC project monitoring process. Issues involving discrimination involving Coordinated Entry may also be addressed by the CES Administrator.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment Commission		No	No
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commsion		No	No

You must enter information for at least 1 row in question 1C-7.

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference–if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC has formally requested via a letter to the Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment Authority Board that the Board adopt a policy for a general preference for folks experiencing homelessness. The CoC leadership has discussed with the Sioux Falls Redevelopment Commission adopting a general preference for folks experiencing homelessness. Neither strategy has worked to date. The PHAs continue to tell us that due to their long waiting lists they are reluctant to incorporate this additional preference. 2.) The CoC has worked with PHAs in it's geographic area to adopt homeless preference but, has not been successful and will keep trying. The CoC has good working relationships with PHAs and a variety of partnerships that include Coordinated Entry, Emergency Housing Vouchers, and the largest PHA in the state is a CoC Grantee. The plan is to develop the CoC and PHA relationships and continue to persuade PHAs of the importance this preference.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:	

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
	In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?	

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Foster Youth to Independence Initiative

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	No

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	

PHA
Sioux Falls Housi...
Vermillion Housin...
Housing and Redev...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment
Commission

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Vermillion Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing and Redevelopment Commission of
Pierre

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

	1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	6
	2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	6
	3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient–that checks Housing First on their Project Application–to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
	2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
	3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC requires all CoC Funded projects to ensure that they are utilizing a Housing First approach. The CoC requires that projects demonstrate that Housing First is expressly listed in the project's administration plans. The CoC Coordinated Entry System (CE) Administrator closely monitors referrals from CE and this serves to help determine if projects are in fact adhering to Housing First. The CE Administrator follows up with projects with any concerns noted via the referral and housing placement processes. The CoC Administrator also monitors projects on various best practices and this incudes the need for projects to follow Housing First standards. The CoC Administrator addresses any concerns noted directly with projects. If the CoC Administrator or the CE Administrator discover concerns involving Housing First, the projects are asked to review their policies and procedures in order to determine if additional review is required. If it is determined that a project is not operating in concert with Housing First practices the project is provided with information and documentation by the CoC Administrator and provided the opportunity to adjust policy and procedure. In this way, the CoC has been reducing barriers to rapid placement in permanent housing by removing preconditions that have been put into place by projects that do not align with Housing First Best Practices. 2.) A list of factors we use to determine if projects are following housing first include. Are applicants allowed to enter into housing without income? Is sobriety a requirement in receiving housing? Does criminal justice disallow entering into housing? Are services and treatment plan voluntary? 3.) The CoC Coordinated Entry System (CE) Administrator closely monitors referrals from CE and this serves to help determine if projects are in fact adhering to Housing First. The CE Administrator follows up with projects with any concerns noted via the referral and housing placement processes. The CoC Administrator also monitors projects on various best practices and this incudes the need for projects to follow Housing First standards. Findings from monitoring are reported to the CoC Governing Board and recommendations for corrections are provided to projects.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC had historically had very limited budgets to support street outreach. However, the ESG-CV funds and RHY Grants have provided for street outreach on a scale that is much larger now, as compared to the past. The CoC has partnered with agencies and groups across the state to provide street outreach. The CoC communicates with all known homelessness services and housing providers, as well as, non-profits and government entities across the statewide CoC to identify unsheltered folks experiencing homelessness. 2.) This CoC encompasses more than 70,000 square miles. There are vast areas of the state with extremely low population. We do our best to work with many groups and agencies to cover the state and this includes law enforcement, as sometimes law enforcement are the only agencies we can locate to assist in street outreach in extremely rural remote areas. While we may be able to cover the entire statewide CoC, the coverage is at times admittedly thin. We are making progress with street outreach and this is most evident with new ESG-CV Street Outreach Projects working in Rapid City and on the Pine Ridge Reservation. Rapid City has traditionally had the largest unsheltered population in the CoC. Pine Ridge Reservation is historically one of the most poverty-stricken areas of the U.S. and includes Oglala Lakota County. Street Outreach efforts on Pine Ridge Reservation have identified and assisted a large number of unsheltered folks and includes a project that utilizes an RV to serve the vast geography and isolated communities of the Pine Ridge Reservation. 3.) Street Outreach is being conducted daily in the most populated communities of the CoC including Sioux Falls and Rapid City. There is also Street Outreach regularly occurring by ESG-CV Funded Agencies on the Pine Ridge Reservation as part of a ESG-CV pilot program which includes regular HUD provided Technical Assistance. 4.) The CoC has worked with the the Rapid City Quality of Life Unit, and Native American Organizations to seek out and offer assistance to folks in Rapid city that have remained unsheltered. Native Americans experience unsheltered homelessness at rates that far exceed their proportion of the population. The CoC has been seeking out and utilizing Native American Run Organizations to conduct street outreach in Rapid City and Pine Ridge Reservation Areas and the hope is that we may expand this model to additional communities across the state.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.l.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC–only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	405	411

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

	Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:	

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) South Dakota has not expanded Medicaid eliminating potential assistance However, this is an item on the November 2022 statewide election ballot. The CoC strongly encourages CoC Funded and ESG Funded projects to access public and private resources and has worked to educate CoC members on services available to folks experiencing homelessness by sponsoring and bringing in mainstream program officials to CoC meetings to explain services available and how they may be accessed. This has included representatives from South Dakota Dept. Labor, SSI, TANF, Substance Abuse Programs, COVID Vaccination, and EBT. The CoC actively supports SOAR SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery and has been involved with the SOAR Works initiative. All CoC funded projects are required to provide participant access to SOAR workers. The CoC promotes trainings provider via webinars by the South Dakota Department of Social Services "Behind the Curtain" Series that explain the resources available and how South Dakotans may access the resources. 2.) CoC projects collaborate closely with local medical providers and Health Care for the Homeless provider. This includes working with SOAR trained staff to ensure that folks experiencing homelessness are receiving insurance and services they are entitled. Several CoC partner agencies are Affordable Care Act Navigators for outreach and enrollment assistance to educate and assist South Dakota residents with signing up for health insurance through Healthcare.gov. 3.) The South Dakota Dept. of Social Services Medicaid leadership and local office staff across the CoC attend and participate in CoC activities and training. This partnership has helped to ensure that Medicaid is being more effectively applied to those in need.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC is increasing capacity for non-aggregate shelter in several ways. The CoC works very closely with the state ESG Administrator and this included supporting the use of ESG-CV funds for new non-congregate shelters and shelter beds. The CoC supported ESG funding for shelters to complete rehabilitation work projects to create new non-congregate shelter space in existing shelters. The CoC works closely with the state HOME Program Administrator and provided important feedback with public comments on proposals for allocating HOME-ARP funding in part for the development of non-congregate shelter.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC works closely with the SD Dept. Social Services' COVID Special Populations Statewide Work Group. The work group includes staff from the SD Dept. of Health and other organizations all working together to respond to infectious disease outbreak. The CoC obtained resources from a variety of sources and developed CoC wide policies and procedures including adapting policies for CE. 2.) The CoC worked with the SD Special Populations Work Group to promote various safety practices including non-congregate shelter options and isolation of COVID positive folks. CoC worked with SD Dept. of Health to obtain PPE for folks experiencing homelessness and those that serve them from the SD strategic PPE stockpile. The CoC worked closely with the 3 largest health organizations in the state to promote vaccination and schedule vaccination clinics for folks experiencing homelessness. The CoC worked to promote the use of monetary incentives for motivating vaccination.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC has also developed improved relationships, participation, and cooperation with the three largest health care systems in the state. These three health care systems cover much of the CoC. The CoC also worked directly with the State Departments of Social Services and Health to share information and direct resources where needed to assist folks experiencing homelessness. 2.) The statewide CoC has developed good working relationships with the State Departments of Health and Social Services and this includes a seat at the table with the Special Populations Committee for the state of South Dakota which directs COVID relief efforts for specific populations including those experiencing unsheltered homelessness, and folks in congregate and non-congregate shelter, and transitional housing settings. The direct communication with senior leadership at the state level has helped to forge relationships and open channels for the flow of information, materials, testing, vaccine, and funding. The CoC has worked to assist street outreach and shelter providers to communicate needs to community health agencies and obtain items, equipment, and services needed tp prevent or limit infectious disease outbreak.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	

3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The SD Coordinated Entry (CE) System covers all 66 counties included in SD-500's geographic area. CES operates a toll free 1-800 number and has an advertised physical access point in each region. CES includes non-advertised access points including county health and human service organizations, organizations specializing in serving the needs of households experiencing domestic violence or human trafficking and veterans service organizations. 2.) Assessments and screening are conducted via Access Points. Eligibility for services and referral to prevention services, domestic violence services, or veteran services are determined by intake processes, work flow, and client consent. The assessment process is to be person centered. Physical access points are to provide safe and confidential areas for individuals to identify sensitive information or safety issues in a private and secure setting. Households are initially screened to determine if they meet criteria for literally homeless or imminent risk of homelessness. SD CES will prioritize interventions for Homeless Prevention, Rapid Re-housing and Permanent Supportive Housing services, and not for entry into emergency services or similar services, thus allowing for an immediate crisis response for emergency services. All assessments completed at Access Points are completed in standard HMIS intake and assessment work flow. A specific VI-SPDAT/PR-VISPDAT is completed for 3 specific populations; families, individuals, and youth. Households are prioritized for Homeless Prevention, Permanent Supportive and Rapid Re-housing services based upon the score of the assessments. 3.) The CES system process, prioritization and procedures are updated using feedback from stakeholder agencies including CoC, ESG funded organizations, community mental health centers, street outreach & emergency shelter programs, health, child welfare, veterans and victims service providers. Regular feedback mechanisms include utilizing case conferencing, CoC's CES subcommittee, the governing board Policy and Advisory committee, the Youth Advisory board and is incorporating a feedback mechanism into independent evaluation for participating households to capture regular feedback from people with lived experience.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) engages stakeholder partners that have direct contact with highly vulnerable populations including street outreach, community mental health and emergency assistance responders. 2.) CES prioritization and referral practices include referral to permanent housing solutions by highest acuity score and household preferences. 3.) Case conferencing and referral tracking ensure follow up on engagement, program enrollment and housing status. 4.) CES is accessible by physical access point or phone, including trained assessors at agencies where households are most likely to access other services including emergency shelters, county human service agencies and PATH outreach teams.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducing Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	04/15/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities–Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC has utilized a number processes and data sources to analyze racial disparities and these include; STELLA Data, Project APR Data, PIT Count Data, HUD Racial Disparity Analysis Tool, Coordinated Entry Data, and US Census Data. The CoC has been openly sharing the disparities data with the CoC membership at quarterly meetings and in venues such as Tribal and CoC Consultations which have occurred 4 times in 2022. 2.) Native Americans continue to experience homelessness at rates that far exceed their percentage of the total population. Even when controlling for important variables such as poverty, we find that Native American are still very much over represented in homelessness. Native Americans represent about 9%of the total population of South Dakota but, are more 60% of those experiencing homelessness, over 75% of those experiencing unsheltered homelessness, and 79% of those experiencing homelessness in households with children. Native Americans are about 30% of the people in the state living in poverty and this helps explain that homelessness is likely not a function of economic poverty alone.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.	

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC continues to publicly address concerns involving racial disparities. HUD Technical Assistance (TA) providers Corporate Facts and RKG Associates, South Dakota ESG Administrator, and SD-CoC worked together to develop analysis to help South Dakota to not only prioritize ESG-CV funding to the highest needs areas and historically underserved communities while considering issues of equity but, to develop a framework to begin to address inequalities and disparities in homelessness rates. The result was a comprehensive report that included data from various sources including; ACS/Census, Bureau of Labor Statistics, HIC/PIT, LSA/Stella, HMIS, COVID Data, and etc. The data analysis identified gaps in current programs serving populations and rural communities in particular. The analysis identified gaps in the homelessness response system. The data evidence reinforced the racial disparities the CoC has been identifying for Native American and Blacks experiencing homelessness at far greater rates than they represent in the total population of the state. The report detailed geographies in the state with shortages of TH, ES, PSH beds. The report confirmed that most of the CoC and ESG funded housing services are located in the largest two communities of the state. Many rural areas, including Native American communities, had few homelessness related housing and services present beyond mostly tribal administered Emergency Shelters and many tribal communities did not have emergency shelters. Important conclusions came from this collaboration, and confirmed concerns being voiced by CoC members. These are the steps the CoC has been taking; a.) Provide greater clarity on the eligible services available. b.) Work to gain better representation from Native Americans on planning committees to improve engagement and outreach and resource deployment by using Native resources and trusted messengers when communicating c.) Ensure tribal groups are aware of the successes of recent efforts to expand funding opportunities in tribal communities with ESG funding and build upon the lessons learned by the expansion of new agencies to continue progress. This effort resulted in a Pilot Program that brought unpresented amounts of homelessness funding to the Pine Ridge Reservation and to Rapid City. Much of this funding is being administered by Native Run organizations.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC continues to review and discuss various data sources to track progress on preventing and eliminating disparities. The PIT Count, STELA LSA Data, Project Level APR data, Coordinated Entry Data, and reviewing and comparing the South Dakota Racial Disparity in Homelessness Summary year over year provide consistent means for tracking progress. While Coordinated Entry and project level APR data support evidence for improvement in access to housing and services for Native Americans, they still remain extremely more likely to experience homelessness in the CoC than folks that identify as white. The CoC has worked to develop relationships with Native American Run Organizations and Tribal Governments. The CoC's Native American Committee remains one the most highly attended committees. The CoC completed 4 Tribal and CoC Consultation webinars so far in 2022.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Youth Action Board (YAB) has been on of the most consistent ways that the CoC has been able to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes. There are folks with lived homelessness experience that have been serving on the CoC Governing Board as well. The YAB was central to the recent YHDP Coordinated Community Plan and Requests for Proposals for YHDP project funding. The YAB was directly responsible for decisions that resulted in essentially doubling of CoC total funding. The YAB completes outreach in a combination of ways including social media and targeted personal outreach. The YAB receives reasonable compensation for their time and expertise, and this seems to have a positive affect on the amount of time and the quality of the information the CoC receives from the YAB with lived homelessness experience. The CoC is planning to add a YAB member with lived homelessness experience to the CoC Governing Board at the September 2022 quarterly membership meeting.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	5	1
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	3	1
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	10	5
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	3	1
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	3	1

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

This rural CoC has extremely limited paid CoC positions but, is motivated to hire individuals with lived experience should any position become available. The YAB has been serving as an important avenue to provide professional development and employment opportunities for folks with lived experience of homelessness. Many of the CoC member organizations do currently employ folks with lived experience. The new YHDP projects coming on line soon report the intention of including youth with lived homelessness experience in development of projects and that there are intention to hire youth.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC gathered feedback from an individual that was invited to meet with the CoC Governing Board to describe their observations and experiences with the services they had received and and provide other pertinent information. The CoC gathers much feedback from the YAB which includes a high number of membership with lived homelessness experience. The YAB feedback occurs routinely and included YAB comments, reports, concerns, and questions. 2.) Feedback from the YAB has resulted in the development of new projects to serve the gaps identified by the YAB. Feedback from the YAB has helped to formulate strategy for outreach and advertisement of homelessness related services. YAB feedback has had a major impact on discovering gaps, creating plans, and implementation of new projects.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	

	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC works very closely with the SD Housing Development Authority (SDHDA) Department of Rental Housing Development. The Director of the Dept. of Rental Housing Development is the supervisor of the CoC Administrator. This allows for very close communication and team work with issues including zoning and housing development. The CoC Administrator attend staff meeting with the State Program Directors for HOME, Housing Tax Credits as well as the Development Officer responsible for supervising construction and working through local issues such reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development. This includes working with Tribes to navigate issues of land ownership and challenges of housing development in Tribal communities. 2.) The CoC works with the SDHDA Dept. of Rental Housing Development to assist in streamlining and reducing barriers to housing development. The CoC works with SDHDA to assist in the wise use of HOME, and Housing Tax Credits to serve folks experiencing homelessness. The CoC Administrator attends public meetings for Consolidated Plan and other housing development planning meetings and provides input for reducing barriers to housing development.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/24/2022

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

	You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	155
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	8
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC utilized project level APR data to rate and rank projects by using a tool that rewarded points based on project performance and other factors. The CoC Governing Board reviewed data for specific areas including; CoC participation, Coordinated Entry System involvement, HMIS Data Quality, Grant Funds Expended, Program Management, Participant Barriers, and Project performance. Project performance data were directly taken from specific APR question data. 2.) The CoC reviews data from project APRs including length of time from project entry to housing. 3.) The CoC reviewed project level APR data for percentage of participants served chronically homeless (APR26a), and Percentage of participants with at at least 1 mental or physical disability (APR13a2) in order to consider severity of needs. 4.) The CoC reviewed project level APR data for percentage of participants served chronically homeless (APR26a), and Percentage of participants with at at least 1 mental or physical disability (APR13a2) in order to consider severity of needs.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;

	2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;
	3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
	4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC Governing Board created and approved the CoC Ranking and Rating Policy for the 2022 CoC Competition. The CoC Governing Board includes Native American Membership. Native Americans are experiencing homelessness at extremely high rates as compared to other racial groups in the Statewide CoC CoC. 2.) Native American CoC Governing Board membership were a part of the rating and ranking policy creation. More folks representing over-represented groups need to be involved more fully in the future leadership and Governing Board recruitment is a concern. This is an important item to improve. 3.) CoC Governing Board member includes Native American membership. 4.) Projects were asked to submit with their funding applications narrative including an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, and domestic violence. Projects described the steps it has taken and/or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
	2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;
	3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
	4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC has a formal Reallocation Process and this was included as Exhibit C in the 2022 CoC Ranking and Rating Tool. The CoC bases decisions about reallocation on analysis of needs and gaps in housing and services, on project performance, and with consideration for compliance with HUD requirements. The PAC considers how best to use the CoC funding, and strives to make informed evidenced based decisions when reallocating CoC Grant Funds. 2.) No projects were identified as low performing. 3.) No projects were reallocated this year. 4.) There were no new project applications submitted. Our partners report that the short application cycle made it difficult to create new viable CoC project applications. Three different partners reported that short competition time frame prohibited them from submitting new applications as they just ddn't have the time with limited staff in addition recent high turnover.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	Yes

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	No
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	No
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/13/2022

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	

You must enter a date in question 1E-5c.

	1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
		You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC's website or partner's website.	

You must enter a date in question 1E-5d.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Eccovia Solutions - Client Track

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/03/2022

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD's comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The HMIS Lead works closely with the HMIS Vendor to ensure that DV housing and services providers collect data in database that meet HUD comparable database requirements. The CoC is also able to collaborate and consult with HUD TA for HMIS NOFA which has been very beneficial and helpful. 2.) Yes. Our CoC is compliant with 2022 HMIS Data Standards. The CoC's HMIS Administrator works closely with HMIS Vendor to ensure this is the case.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

	Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:	

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,088	332	534	70.63%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	145	20	28	22.40%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	411	42	369	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	482	0	482	100.00%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	20	0	20	100.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:	
	1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
	2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC will continue outreach to the 9 Native American Tribes within South Dakota and invite their involvement in HMIS implementation and the CoC. Several tribes do have tribal emergency shelters and domestic violence shelter operations currently. The CoC will work to further develop relationships with the Tribes by utilizing the CoC's Native American Committee as a communication bridge. Most of the ES beds outside the HMIS are found in Native American Tribal run shelters. 2.) The TH beds remaining outside the HMIS implementation currently are almost all found in just one agency. This agency is utilizing a computer system but, this system is not HMIS compatible. Since the CoC has limited funding, and TH funding is currently not a CoC priority, it is a challenge to entice TH project to join HMIS implementation. We do plan to reach out again to this TH agency to invite their greater involvement in the CoC and to discuss the positive attributes of HMIS. The CoC is hoping to utilize a data integration tool to incorporate the VA TH beds and this may be possible via the tool developed for HUDVASH beds that has been successful. However, the VA TH beds are very few in number.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	01/25/2022

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	05/03/2022

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:	
1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;	
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and	
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC engaged directly with stakeholders that serve homeless youth including RHY emergency shelter and transitional housing and street out reach providers, social services organizations, schools, ESG street outreach providers, law enforcement, and emergency shelters. The CoC organizes the count regionally and by the two largest cities and has regional/city leads whose jobs in part are to reach out to all known homelessness housing and services providers and organizations and inform them about the PIT & HIC and provide training for organization staff. The CoC Admin. recorded PIT and HIC training materials and conducted a webinar. Basic information was provided during quarterly CoC meetings as well. 2.) The YAB was helpful in the actual PIT Count. The YAB providing information about ways to reach youth experiencing homelessness and this included word of mouth. 3.) Street out reach providers, YAB, law enforcement, and social services organizations provided locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and
3.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
4.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) There were more Native American run agencies that participated in the PIT Count as compared to the recent past and this likely resulted better over-all coverage and accuracy. There are several (4) Native American Reservations that did not consent to the PIT Count being conducted on their lands. The loss of these tribal areas this year resulted in the PIT Count being undoubtedly less than it would have been, if the permission had been received to complete the count on all the Tribal Lands 2.) The unsheltered CoC PIT Count methodology and definition used for unsheltered was adapted this year due to the HUD guidance. There were more Native American run agencies that participated in the PIT Count as compared to the recent past and this likely resulted better over-all coverage and accuracy. There were 4 Native American Reservations that did not consent to the PIT Count being conducted on their lands in SD. The loss of these tribal areas this year resulted in the PIT Count being undoubtedly less than it would have been, if the permission had been received to complete the count on all the Tribal Lands. 3.) The larger number of Native American Organizations participating in the count likely resulted in more accurate information being obtain. This was likely most evident in the large Tribal geographies. The loss of our ability to complete the PIT Count on 4 Reservations also likely resulted in the changes to the PIT Count especially for specific counties.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) Information, research, and literature available from a variety of sources including; HUD Exchange, webinars, contract speakers, HUD TA, YAB input, and USICH is reviewed and considered for identifying the risk factors to becoming homeless for the first time and this information is considered during planning and strategy sessions. The CoC Committees discuss risk factors involving specific subgroups including veterans, Native Americans, and youth. Current statistical information suggests that people experiencing domestic violence, persons in extreme poverty, persons with active addictions, severely mentally ill, racial minorities including Native Americans in South Dakota, LGBTQ youth, physically disabled, and persons having been incarcerated all are currently experiencing homelessness at higher rates than they are represented in the general population. 2.) Coordinated Entry (CE) processes are assisting in the referral of persons at risk of homelessness to programs and agencies that have prevention services. The goal is to efficiently refer at risk persons and families to the projects and agencies prepared to resolve or mitigate the risks of homelessness and CE is assisting this goal by increased referrals to prevention and increasing the knowledge of services available. CE marketing strategies informs of the services for those at risk of homelessness that are available including options to mitigate homelessness risk factors such as South Dakota CARES rental, mortgage, and utility assistance. The CoC has had ongoing training activities in Rapid Resolution Techniques and provided these trainings for our partners. 3.) The Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) is the CoC governing board and makes decision on policy and procedure. The CoC Administrator researches information and statistical data and provides the PAC information to assist their decision making.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless–CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC is actively working to improve the Coordinated Entry System (CE) which results in reductions in time homeless by quickly matching participants with the best option to end their experience with homelessness. The CES seeks to house the most vulnerable persons and families based upon a standardized assessment process and COVID Screening Mechanisms. CES has demonstrated the growing need for more housing options and services to better serve folks with lower assessment scores which equates to a continued demand for more housing options in more areas of the CoC. 2.) The CoC utilized the CES to identify and house the individuals and persons in families with the longest time homeless. The CoC has also completed reports in HMIS to search for persons that have reportedly remained homeless for periods of time beyond 30 days and contacted households directly to inquire on status of individuals. The CoC has worked with Emergency Shelters to develop strategies to help motivate long-term participants to move on from ES to permanent housing via Coordinated Entry. 3.) The Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) is the CoC Governing Board and makes decision on policy and procedure. The CoC Administrator researches information, best practices, and statistical data and provides the PAC information to assist in their decision making.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC seeks to assist participants in increasing their earned and unearned income during their time in ES, TH, RRH, PSH, or when unsheltered (the CoC has no safe havens) and tracks this information for projects when available via APR and other data. The APR data is then used as a tool during the CoC competition rating and ranking process and higher scoring projects are rewarded for their efforts by maintaining funding, being eligible for reallocation and expansion. The CoC seeks to identify the service needs of participants and to assist in the continuation and transition of services as needed including community mental health, substances abuse treatment, consumer counseling, etc. 2.) The CoC seeks to match service needs with participants in permanent housing projects. By working to ensure participants receive the services needed to successfully remain housed such as mental health, or substances abuse treatment the CoC strategy is to assist folks to maintain housing. The CoC has worked with CoC PSH grantees to develop Move on Strategies that include the option of case management to assist folks to obtain resources via opportunities like SOAR, and SD Dept. of Labor programs. The CoC works with local PHA's to try to ensure the use of public housing and section 8 vouchers across the state and monitors grantee agencies to ensure they are partnering with programs such as job training or have a SOAR provider to help provide long-term financial stability for participants. 3.) The Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) is the CoC Governing Board and makes decision on policy and procedure. The CoC Administrator researches information, best practices, and statistical data and provides the PAC information to assist in their decision making.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	

		In the field below:
	1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
	2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
	3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC has been working to identify characteristics of individuals and families who return to homelessness. The Coordinated Entry System (CE) has provided data to assist these efforts. The HMIS provides projects like Emergency Shelters with information on participants that present as homeless again after being discharged. These two sources help to identify individuals and persons in families who return to homelessness. The CoC compares the returns to homelessness to CE information for additional information regarding the participants and past housing referrals. The return to homelessness may be due to participants not receiving the appropriate housing and/or services referral options. By using these two data sources, the CoC can learn more about the partnering agencies, their success and evaluate the statewide CoC for continued improvement. The CoC reviews data reports on a quarterly basis, compares the data and then uses the information as a framework for improvement. 2.) CE is the strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns by assessing participant needs and characteristics and referring the participants to appropriate housing and resources that meet the needs identified in CES assessment. CE seeks to house participants by assessing their needs and characteristics and referring the participants to housing and resources that meet the needs identified in (CE) assessment process. CE seeks to focus on the most vulnerable and many time most difficult to house persons. The CoC is also seeking to expand service options in prevention including Rapid Resolution in order to prevent homelessness experiences from occurring. 3.) The policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) is the CoC Governing Board and makes decision on Policy and Procedure. The CoC Administrator researchers information and statistical data and provides the PAC information to assist their decision making.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC has a completed an MOU with SD Department of Labor & Regulation (SDDL&R). The purpose is to increase employment income of folks experiencing homelessness and at risk. The CoC collaborates with emergency shelters, DV agencies, CoC and ESG Grantee housing providers, veteran services, and other providers to promote access and education about available workforce training, education, and services via SDDOL&R programs. CoC assists SDDOL&R with distributing information and provides venues for distributing information. CoC reviews policies and outcomes of CoC and ESG recipients to determine how agencies are utilizing SDDOL&R programs, CoC will actively communicate with SDDOL&R for best practices and program evaluation. 2.) The CoC supports venues such as Project Connects and VEteran Stand Downs that bring together mainstream employment organizations and folks needing employment. 3.) The CoC Governing Board and makes decision on Policy and Procedure. The CoC Administrator researchers information and provides the CoC Board information to assist their decision making.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

		In the field below:
	1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
	2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.) The CoC promotes SOAR and access to Social Security Disability Benefits for eligible individuals experiencing or at risk of homelessness. The CoC promotes the SOAR online courses and expects CoC funded projects to provide access to SOAR trained staff for all project participants. The CoC has reviewed SOAR availability at CoC funded projects during the CoC funding application process. 2.) The CoC has been working to market SOAR provider opportunities to members. The CoC has been providing information and communicating with partners in order to add additional SOAR trained personnel and we are working to have CoC representation at SOAR Leadership Academy. 3.) The CoC Governing Board and makes decisions on CoC Policy and Procedure. The CoC Administrator researches information and provides the CoC Board information to assist their decision making. The CoC administrator has communicated directly with SOAR SAMSA TA Center to obtain materials, information, and opportunities for expanding SOAR across the statewide CoC.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
    - Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.			

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

	Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:	
1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and	
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:
1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable.

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No		
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/14/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/12/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Forms For ...	09/12/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Projects Rejected...	09/12/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/14/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Sco...	09/14/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms For One Project SD-500 CoC

Attachment Details

Document Description: Projects Rejected/Reduced - Notification Outside Esnaps

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/14/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/19/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/19/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/19/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/19/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/19/2022
2C. System Performance	09/19/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/14/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/14/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/14/2022

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/14/2022
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

September 12, 2022

HUD SNAPS Office CoC Competition Team
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Washington, D.C.

RE: 1E-1. Local Competition Deadline

Please find attached to this cover letter the Local Competition Deadline attachment (1E-1.) submitted from SD-500 CoC Collaborative Applicant to HUD for the FY2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
Continuum of Care Administrator
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
SD-500 CoC – South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium
PO Box 1237, Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

Housing For The Homeless

Need Assistance?

Services ▼

Public Awareness ▼

Contact Us

HUD allocates homeless assistance grants to organizations that participate in local homeless assistance program planning networks. Each of these networks is called a Continuum of Care (CoC). In order to access these funds, SDHHC must submit a HUD Continuum of Care Consolidated Application.

2022 CoC Consolidated Application Documents

CoC Pre-Application for New Project Applications

PAC Priority and Ranking Policy

CoC Competition Timeline

CoC Collaborative Application

Exhibit B

South Dakota Statewide Continuum of Care Competition Timeline 2022	DUE DATE	ORGANIZATION RESPONSIBLE
APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT		
NOFO RELEASED	Wednesday August 3, 2022	PROJECTS
DEVELOP PROJECTS/APPLICATIONS	Ongoing	SDHHC
PAC CONVENES TO DEVELOP RANKING TOOL FOR PROJECT APPLICANTS	Thursday, August 18, 2022	PAC
PRE-APPLICATIONS FOR NEW PROJECTS DUE	Friday, August 19, 2022	NEW PROJECTS
PAC FINALIZES & APPROVES RANKING AND RATING TOOL	Monday, August 22, 2022	PAC
PROJECT APPLICATIONS – (first draft) DUE TO SDHDA (pdf from e-snaps) *(5:00 p.m. (CST)*	Monday, August 22, 2022	NEW/RENEWAL PROJECTS
MOST CURRENT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORTS (APRS) Accepted by HUD FOR ALL INCOMING RENEWAL PROJECTS OBTAINED FROM SAGE	Thursday, September 1, 2022	SDHDA
COMMENTS BACK TO APPLICANTS ON FIRST DRAFT	Thursday, August 25, 2022	SDHDA
FINAL PROJECT APPLICATIONS DUE TO SDHDA *(5:00 p.m. (CST)* (no later than 30 days before the applications deadline)	Tuesday, August 30, 2022	NEW/RENEWAL PROJECTS
RELEASE COLLABORATIVE APPLICATION FOR COMMENTS	Tuesday, August 30, 2022	SDHDA
PAC CONVENES TO RANK APPLICATIONS	Monday-Tuesday, September 12-13, 2022	NEW/RENEWAL PROJECTS

NOTIFICATION OF APPROVED OR DISALLOWED PROJECT APPLICATIONS **(all applicants must be notified, in writing, no later than 15 days of the application deadline of acceptance, reduction, or rejection)**	**Wednesday, September 13, 2022**	**PAC**
APPEAL PROCESS – (appeals to ranking process must be submitted to Collaborative Applicant – SDHDA)	**Tuesday, September 13 – Tuesday, September 25, 2022**	**SDHDA**
PUBLIC COMMENTS DUE ON THE COLLABORATIVE APPLICATION	**Friday, September 23, 2022**	**PUBLIC**
PLACE CoC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ON SDHHC WEBSITE FOR COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER REVIEW - (HUD deadline is 2 days prior to application due date)	**Monday, September 26, 2022**	**SDHDA**
APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED TO HUD – (actual HUD deadline 09/30/2021)	**Thursday, September 29, 2022**	**SDHDA**

SDHHC - SD Housing for the Homeless Consortium, SD-500 CoC

SDHDA - South Dakota Housing Development Authority – CoC Collaborative Applicant

PROJECT - Applicants for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Funding

PAC – Policy and Advisory Committee, SD-500 CoC Governing Board

September 12, 2022

HUD SNAPS Office CoC Competition Team
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Washington, D.C.

RE: 1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool

Please find attached to this cover letter the Local Competition Scoring Tool (1E-2.) submitted from SD-500
CoC Collaborative Applicant to HUD for the FY2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
Continuum of Care Administrator
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
SD-500 CoC – South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium
PO Box 1237, Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium, SD-500 CoC
Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC)
2022 CoC Competition Ranking and Rating Process Tool
As Approved by the PAC (CoC Governing Board) – August 23, 2022

Continuum of Care (CoC) Intent

The Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of the SD Housing for the Homeless Consortium (SDHHC) is the governing board for the SDHHC which is SD-500 CoC. The PAC is tasked with establishing priority and ranking criteria for all CoC applications, new and renewal, based on the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) priorities noted in the 2022 NOFO, local priorities, and threshold requirements.

HUD's NOFO Policy Priorities include:
1. Ending homelessness for all persons.
2. Use a Housing First approach.
3. Reducing Unsheltered Homelessness.
4. Improve System Performance.
5. Partner with Housing, Health, and Services Agencies.
6. Advance Racial Equity.
7. Improving assistance to LGBTQ+ Individuals.
8. Including persons with lived experience in local planning processes.
9. Increase affordable housing supply.

Requesting New Applications

South Dakota Housing Development Authority (SDHDA), as the lead agency of the SDHHC and the collaborative applicant for CoC funding, recruits new agencies, notifies potential interested parties regarding the upcoming Continuum of Care competition, and requests pre-applications for new CoC projects. This is done via a press release, email distributed via the SDHHC listserv, and posts on www.housingforthehomeless.org and www.sdhda.org. A timeline for application process was created and attached as Exhibit B, to inform all interested parties of deadlines for the CoC applications.

Eligibility

All projects are expected to meet the following criteria to be ranked by the PAC in the CoC Competition.
1. Submit completed renewal or new application and any additional required documents to the South Dakota Housing Development Authority (SDHDA), the Collaborative Applicant for South Dakota CoC.
2. Meet the HUD application deadlines (i.e. entry into ESNAPS) and set deadlines by SDHHC PAC.
3. Meet all HUD eligibility criteria, as outlined in the current year (FY 2021) CoC Program NOFA, the July 2012 CoC Program Interim HEARTH Regulations, and any other official document published by HUD.

1

4. Meet HUD and SDHHC threshold requirements.

Monitoring Performance of Recipients

The PAC monitors the performance of the CoC recipients on HUD and SDHHC established program parameters, performance goals and project effectiveness on a yearly basis. The PAC reviews Annual Performance Reports (APRs), HMIS data reports, specific data elements and metrics to ensure individuals and families are being served to the greatest extent possible and that programs are effective.

Project performance is evaluated using the following:
1) Annual Performance Reports (APRs) for projects
2) Quarterly HMIS data quality reports
3) HIC and PIT count data
4) HUD audits
5) SDHHC project monitoring and on-site reviews
6) Project administrative plan including HUD Best Practices for supportive services.
7) Project analysis of barriers report

Exclusion or Removal from Project Ranking List and Reallocation - Projects will be excluded or removed from the project ranking list in the event that HUD and/or SDHHC monitoring finds that a project has been out of compliance with the regulations and has made no progress on any corrective action as required by HUD and/or SDHHC. Consequently, these projects will not be submitted for renewal funding in the CoC competition. The funds from this project will then be reallocated to another project.

SDHHC Threshold Requirements for CoC (*Renewal) Applications – Applicants are expected to meet the following threshold requirements. If applicants do not meet threshold requirements, their application may receive negative points for specific measures.
1. Active participation in SDHHC including attending quarterly meetings
2. Coordinated Entry System participation and referral acceptance
3. Housing First/ Low Barrier Approach
4. Documented minimum match
5. Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit
6. Project is financially feasible
7. Application complete and accurate including required attachments (administration plan, barrier to participation report, committee participation report)
8. Data quality at or above 90%
9. Project grant funds expended at 90% or more
10. Unit utilization rates at or above 85%

Priority & Ranking of Applicants

All eligible applications will be scored by the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC), using a scoring system (Attached Exhibit A) informed by HUD's priorities, local priorities and threshold

requirements. These scores determine each project's rank in the CoC's application to HUD, and the rank will be the primary determinant of placement into Tier 1 (which is anticipated to be fully funded by HUD) and Tier 2 (which will only be funded if the CoC's score is high enough and if there are sufficient resources). Scores may also be used to reject applications or to reduce budgets for low-scoring projects.

The measurements listed below are used for the scoring system.
1. CoC Participation,
2. Program Type
3. Coordinated Entry System
4. HMIS Data Quality
5. CoC Funds Expended
6. Program Management
7. Equity, and Barriers Analysis (Race and Other Barriers), Project Participant Barrier Severity & Project Performance
8. Completeness of Application
9. New Application Narrative Responses (new project applications only)

Before new project applications are included in the CoC collaborative application, the PAC will ensure there are no duplication of services and that the creation of the new project will be effective in addressing the needs of those experiencing homelessness. New applications that are requesting HUD funding for projects based on HUD's and SDHHC's priorities will receive no score for performance measurements Categories 1, 3-7 on Exhibit A. The scoring system evaluates past performance (of renewal/reallocation applicants) and promotes best practices that will improve the local response to homelessness and align local strategies with national policies and best practices. New project applications will be scored on narrative responses provided for in question 9. Renewal Applications will not be scored for question 9.

Applicants for HMIS, and CES SSO opportunities receive due consideration by PAC for scoring and ranking based upon factors including SDHHC compliance with HUD best practices, funding priorities, and funding availability that most benefits SDHHC over-all efforts at the time of funding application. HMIS and SSO – Coordinated Entry projects will be listed first in Tier 1 because they are required elements of a CoC's system. Application for the CoC Planning Grant will not be ranked.

Project renewal applicants that do not yet have a HUD accepted APR will automatically receive all points for categories and 3-7, as long as, the project APR was completed as required and submitted on time.

In situations where two projects were consolidated in 2021 CoC competition, the project with the largest amount of funding awarded initially in 2021 prior to consolidation will be the project APR used for the scoring and the APR from the larger of the two projects will be utilized for scoring the FY 2022 application.

SDHHC's Priorities

1. Permanent Housing for Chronic Homeless Individuals and families, and permanent housing projects serving the most vulnerable, such as, disabled persons, unsheltered, households with low or no income, current or past substance abuse, those with a history of victimization such as human trafficking, domestic violence and/or sexual assault, and difficult to house participants with criminal history, evictions, etc.
2. Active participation and partnership of projects within SD Housing for the Homeless Consortium and the statewide Coordinated Entry System.
3. Commitment to and utilization of Housing First Approach and Low Barrier Best Practices.
4. Efficient and effective use of HMIS as evidenced with data quality and performance reporting.
5. Leveraging of resources, coordination of services, and program cost effectiveness.
6. Program management taking into account full expenditure of CoC funding, positive program results, and high utilization rates.
7. Project performance as evidenced by objective criteria including annual performance report data measures and other HUD provided reports.
8. Partnerships with housing, health, and services agencies.
9. Promotion and support of racial and ethnic equity in the administration of homelessness resources.

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) for Chronic Homeless Individuals and Families –
Current/New grantees for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) that have dedicated all of their beds to chronically homeless individuals who have experienced homelessness for a year or longer, or who have experienced at least four episodes of homelessness in the last three years, and have a disability. For homeless families, one member in that family must meet the criteria above.

Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) – Current/New Rapid Re-Housing created through reallocation, bonus, and renewal. RRH that serve individuals, including unaccompanied youth, and families coming from the street or emergency shelters or persons fleeing domestic violence and are placed in permanent housing within 30 days of when the client became homeless. Projects serving difficult to serving participants with high service needs.

New Transitional Housing - Rapid Re-Housing Bonus Funding Project for Victims Fleeing Domestic Violence – New grantees for TH-RRH that will dedicate beds specifically for homeless victims fleeing domestic violence and/or sex trafficking.

Guidelines for Reallocation of CoC Grant Funds Exhibit C
Reallocation decisions for project funding are made by the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium (SDHHC) as part of the CoC

ranking and rating process of CoC grant funding applications. Exhibit C describes the policy utilized for the reallocation of CoC Grant Funding.

Tier 1 Fund Maximization
To ensure maximum utilization of available funding in Tier 1, the PAC will implement the following:
1. If a project's budget exceeds what is remaining in Tier 1 funds, the project will have the opportunity to reduce its budget to what remains in Tier 1 and be placed fully into Tier 1, or the project could also be to split with partial finding in Tier 1 and partial funding in Tier 2.

Once the PAC completes the scoring and ranking, they will also consider the CoC's priorities, whether the initial scoring is likely to result in any critical service gaps, strategies related to Tier cut offs and HUD's selection process, and adjust budgets to produce the final ranking of projects to be included in the CoC application. All decisions made regarding ranking and possible reduction of project funding will be recorded and made public with the published rankings. Scoring and ranking of project applications is completed by use of Exhibit A.

All applicants will be notified in writing of acceptance, reduction, or rejection of application by 5 p.m. Central Time Wednesday, September 13, 2022. Project selections, rankings and tier allocations will be provided by written notice and published at www.housingforthehomeless.org no later than 5 p.m. Central Time on September 26, 2022 per Timeline attached as Exhibit B. In case of a tie, the application that requests a higher percentage of supportive services funds will be ranked lower.

Ranking Appeals Process
Project applicants having concerns regarding how their application was scored and reviewed may file a written appeal with SDHHC PAC at the email listed below by 5 PM Central Time, September 25, 2022.

Project applicants may also appeal to HUD if the applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. Additional details on the HUD appeal process can be found beginning on page 95 of the 2022 NOFO available here: snapsappeals@hud.gov.

Information for the PAC may be delivered to:
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
Continuum of Care Administrator
PO Box 1237
Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org

Exhibit A

1 – CoC Participation (up to 30 points)	YES	SCORE
Agency participated in 100% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	10	
Agency participated in 75% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	7.5	
Agency participated in 50% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	5	
Agency participated in 25% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	2.5	
Project operates as housing first/low barrier approach (documented via administrative plan)	10	
Agency participation in SDHHC committee(s) please attach an explanation of applicant's staff participation in SDHHC formal committees including staff name and committee(s) to earn full points. Time period July 2021 – June 2022.	10	
1 - TOTAL SCORE		

2 - Program Type (up to 10 points)	Points	Score
Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronic Homeless Individuals and families	10	
Permanent Supportive Housing - Other, prioritization plan for Chronic Preferences	5	
Rapid Re-Housing Program or TH-RRH Program for Homeless Families, Youth, or Individuals	10	
Rapid Rehousing or Transitional Housing – Rapid Rehousing Program for Victims of Domestic Violence and/or sex trafficking	10	
Transitional Housing - Other	5	
Supportive Services Only – (Street Outreach)	10	
2 - TOTAL SCORE		

3 - Coordinated Entry System (up to 20 points)	YES	Score
Coordinated Entry System Participation – agency staff are active in CES activities including CES training and case conferencing.	5	
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted 75% or more of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	5	
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted 50% or more of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	2	
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted less than 50% of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	-5	
Project receives 100% of referrals from CES for project placements	5	
Agency is an access point for Coordinated Entry	5	
3 - TOTAL SCORE		

4 - HMIS Data Quality-Personal Identifying Information APR Q06a-6d sum of error percentage: (up to 10 points)	YES	Score
0-5% error rate over-all score	10	
6-10% error rate over-all score	3	
Great than 15% error rate over-all score (Applicants should provide information on any extenuating circumstances with data quality including number of undocumented clients served. PAC may sore 2 points with extenuating circumstances explanation.)	-5	
4 - TOTAL SCORE		

5 - Funds Expended APR (up to 5 points)	Yes	Score
95% or higher	5	
94% - 90%	2	
80% or lower	-5	

		Score
(Applicants should provide information on any extenuating circumstances with funds expended. PAC may score 2 points with extenuating circumstances explanation.		
5 - TOTAL SCORE		
6 - Program Management (up to 15 points)	**YES**	**Score**
No HUD Audit/APR Findings or Concerns or any concerns noted were satisfactorily rectified.	5	
No SDHHC Audit/APR Findings or Concerns or any SDHHC finding were satisfactorily rectified.	5	
APR not submitted to HUD on time. Submissions reviewed in SAGE to document timeliness of submission.	-5	
APR rejected by HUD and not corrected and resubmitted within 30 days. Submissions reviewed in SAGE to document.	-5	
Utilization rate unit Q02. below 85% for months listed on APR (Attach explanation to application materials for extenuating circumstances. PAC may award 2 points for extenuating circumstances).	-5	
Timeliness. APR Q06e. 90% of start and exit client records completed within 6 days.	5	
6 - TOTAL SCORE		
7 – Participant Barriers & Project Performance (up to 65 points)		**Score**
1. Equity & Project Barriers Analysis	**YES**	
1A. Project application submission included an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, and domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers (Either 1, A, B, or C used for scoring purposes).	10	
1B. Project application submission included an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local	5	

homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, or domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. Submission was received but, analysis was vague, limited in scope and/or lacking information.		
1C. Project application submission <u>did not</u> include an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, or domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. Submission was received but, analysis was vague, limited in scope and/or lacking information.	0	

2. Severity of Participant Barriers (Scoring by Project Type)		
PSH—Percentage of participant households served chronically homeless (APR 26a)		
75% and more	20	
55%-74%	15	
50%-54%	10	
40%-49%	5	
39% or less	0	
RRH—Percentage of participants with at least one mental or physical condition at start (APR 13a2.)		
60%-100%	20	
40%-59%	15	
29%-39%	10	
25%-28%	5	
0%-19%	0	

3. Exits to Permanent Housing (Scoring by Project Type)		
PSH - Percent of participants who remain in or exit to PH (APR 23c)		
90% or higher	25	
80%-89%	20	
85%-88%	15	
80%-84%	10	
75%-79%	5	
Less than 75%	0	
RRH - Percent exited to Positive Destinations (APR 23c)		
90% or higher	25	
80%-89%	20	
75%-79%	15	
70%-74%	10	
67%-69%	5	

Less than 67%	0		
4. New or Increased Income and Earned Income (Scoring by Project Type)			
PSH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)			
8% and higher	2.5		
5%-7%	1.5		
Less than 5%	0		
PSH Project Stayers: New or increased other (non-employment) income (APR 19a.1)			
50% and higher	2.5		
25%-49%	1.5		
Less than 25%	0		
PSH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)			
8% and higher	2.5		
5%-7%	1.5		
Less than 5%	0		
RRH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)			
25% and higher	5		
19%-24%	2.5		
Less than 18%	0		
PSH Project Leavers: New or increased any income (total income) (APR 19a.2)			
50% and higher	2.5		
25%-49%	1.5		
Less than 25%	0		
RRH Project Leavers: New or increased total income (APR 19a.2)			
20% and higher	5		
15%-19%	2.5		
Less than 15%	0		
7 - TOTAL SCORE			

			Score
8 – Completion of Application (0 or up to -10 points)			
Is the application complete and accurate?			
Yes	0		
No	-5		
Are all required attachments provided?			
Yes	0		
No	-5		
8 - TOTAL SCORE			
9 – New Project Application Narrative Response (145 points)			
New Projects are not scored for sections 1, 3-7. Section 9 scoring takes the place of sections 1, 3-7 for new projects.			

How many years has the organization been operating?		
9- New Project Applicants Only - DOCUMENTATION MUST BE SUBMITTED TO EVIDENCE THE FOLLOWING VIA NARRATIVE RESPONSES:		**Score**
1. Document the partnerships your agency currently has with mainstream service providers that will support the efforts of this project.	0-25 points	
2. Document the need for the services and or housing being provided.	0-25 points	
3. Document that the new project will not duplicate services already being provided in the community.	0-25 points	
4. If applicable, document agency's previous performance in serving survivors of domestic violence (not scored but, required for DV projects).	Not Scored	
5. Document how this project will help the overall performance of the homeless system including ensuring flow through the process, allowing clients to enter into permanent housing more rapidly with support opportunities.	0-25 points	
6. If applicable, document the services that are tailored to assist domestic violence survivors to connect or reconnect to permanent housing (not scored but, required for DV projects).	Not Scored	
7. Document how this proposed project will fill identified gap(s) in the current CoC System.	0-45 points	
9 - New Application Narrative Total Score:		
APPLICATION GRAND TOTAL SCORE		**/155**

Additional Items Obtained from APR completed by Ranking Team:
- As documented in the most recent APR, the amount of HUD CoC funding expended during the program year $_____.
- Number of clients _____ and number of households _____ served during the program year.

Notes:

Exhibit B

South Dakota Statewide Continuum of Care Competition Timeline 2022	DUE DATE	ORGANIZATION RESPONSIBLE
APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT		
NOFO RELEASED	Wednesday August 3, 2022	PROJECTS
DEVELOP PROJECTS/APPLICATIONS	Ongoing	SDHHC
PAC CONVENES TO DEVELOP RANKING TOOL FOR PROJECT APPLICANTS	Thursday, August 18, 2022	PAC
PRE-APPLICATIONS FOR NEW PROJECTS DUE	Friday, August 19, 2022	NEW PROJECTS
PAC FINALIZES & APPROVES RANKING AND RATING TOOL	Monday, August 22, 2022	PAC
PROJECT APPLICATIONS – (first draft) DUE TO SDHDA (pdf from e-snaps) *(5:00 p.m. (CST)*	Monday, August 22, 2022	NEW/RENEWAL PROJECTS
MOST CURRENT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORTS (APRS) Accepted by HUD FOR ALL INCOMING RENEWAL PROJECTS OBTAINED FROM SAGE	Thursday, September 1, 2022	SDHDA
COMMENTS BACK TO APPLICANTS ON FIRST DRAFT	Thursday, August 25, 2022	SDHDA
FINAL PROJECT APPLICATIONS DUE TO SDHDA *(5:00 p.m. (CST)* (no later than 30 days before the applications deadline)	Tuesday, August 30, 2022	NEW/RENEWAL PROJECTS
RELEASE COLLABORATIVE APPLICATION FOR COMMENTS	Tuesday, August 30, 2022	SDHDA
PAC CONVENES TO RANK APPLICATIONS	Monday-Tuesday, September 12-13, 2022	NEW/RENEWAL PROJECTS

NOTIFICATION OF APPROVED OR DISALLOWED PROJECT APPLICATIONS (all applicants must be notified, in writing, no later than 15 days of the application deadline of acceptance, reduction, or rejection)	Wednesday, September 13, 2022	PAC
APPEAL PROCESS – (appeals to ranking process must be submitted to Collaborative Applicant – SDHDA)	Tuesday, September 13 – Tuesday, September 25, 2022	SDHDA
PUBLIC COMMENTS DUE ON THE COLLABORATIVE APPLICATION	Friday, September 23, 2022	PUBLIC
PLACE CoC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ON SDHHC WEBSITE FOR COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER REVIEW - (HUD deadline is 2 days prior to application due date)	Monday, September 26, 2022	SDHDA
APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED TO HUD – (actual HUD deadline 09/30/2021)	Thursday, September 29, 2022	SDHDA

SDHHC - SD Housing for the Homeless Consortium, SD-500 CoC
SDHDA - South Dakota Housing Development Authority – CoC Collaborative Applicant
PROJECT - Applicants for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Funding
PAC – Policy and Advisory Committee, SD-500 CoC Governing Board

13

Exhibit C

Guidelines for the Reallocation of CoC Grant Funds
South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium (SDHHC)
SD-500 Statewide CoC

Reallocation decisions for CoC project funding are made by the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium (SDHHC) as part of the CoC ranking and rating process of CoC grant funding applications. The SD-500 Statewide CoC is SDHHC. The PAC is the Governing Board of SDHHC. Reallocation decisions are based upon assessing existing projects for their performance, project effectiveness in ending homelessness, critical unmet needs related to supporting these efforts, and considerations for the equitable geographic distribution of CoC funding. Reallocation decisions are also based upon and influenced by the requirement of SDHHC to follow U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) policies and mandates for the CoC Program. CoC funding will be directed towards project applications that (1) Serve the highest need individuals and families, (2) Help project participants obtain permanent housing as rapidly as possible, (3) Ensure long-term housing stability of participants, (4) Ensure the most cost-effective CoC grant portfolio available given the statewide CoC's gaps and needs, which includes the consideration of the geographic distribution of funding across the Statewide CoC. The PAC assesses unmet needs by both project type and population for reallocation decision making including; Unaccompanied adults, Unaccompanied adults experiencing homelessness, Unaccompanied underage youth (under 18), Unaccompanied transition-aged youth (18-24), Families with children, Families with children experiencing chronic homelessness, Veterans, People fleeing domestic violence. The PAC weighs current CoC funded project performance and outcomes based upon APR data utilized for project applicant score on CoC Competition rating and ranking tool.

The SDHHC strives to match CoC housing inventory and services to the unmet needs of people experiencing homelessness in South Dakota. SDHHC may reallocate a portion or all of the funding from any project eligible for renewal in a CoC funding competition. The annual CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) dictates what types of projects may be created in a given competition year. SDHHC bases decisions about reallocation on analysis of needs and gaps in housing and services, on project performance, and with consideration for compliance with HUD requirements. The PAC considers how best to use the CoC funding, and strives to make informed evidenced based decisions when reallocating CoC Grant Funds.

September 12, 2022

HUD SNAPS Office CoC Competition Team
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Washington, D.C.

RE: 1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application

Please find attached to this cover letter the Scored Renewal Project Application (1E-2a.) submitted from SD-500 CoC Collaborative Applicant to HUD for the FY2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
Continuum of Care Administrator
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
SD-500 CoC – South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium
PO Box 1237, Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

Exhibit A – Scored Forms
Applicant: Sioux Falls Housing & Redevelopment Commission
Project: FY2022 4-unit S+C Grant (SD0016L8T002107)

1 – CoC Participation (up to 30 points)	YES	SCORE
Agency participated in 100% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	10	10
Agency participated in 75% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	7.5	
Agency participated in 50% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	5	
Agency participated in 25% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	2.5	
Project operates as housing first/low barrier approach (documented via administrative plan) (Appendix D, page 104 Admin Plan)	10	0
Agency participation in SDHHC committee(s) please attach an explanation of applicant's staff participation in SDHHC formal committees including staff name and committee(s) to earn full points. Time period July 2021 – June 2022.	10	10
1 - TOTAL SCORE	**20**	

2 - Program Type (up to 10 points)	Points	Score
Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronic Homeless Individuals and families	10	10
Permanent Supportive Housing - Other, prioritization plan for Chronic Preferences	5	
Rapid Re-Housing Program or TH-RRH Program for Homeless Families, Youth, or Individuals	10	
Rapid Rehousing or Transitional Housing – Rapid Rehousing Program for Victims of Domestic Violence and/or sex trafficking	10	
Transitional Housing - Other	5	

		Score
Supportive Services Only – (Street Outreach)	10	
2 - TOTAL SCORE	10	

3 - Coordinated Entry System (up to 20 points)	YES	Score
Coordinated Entry System Participation – agency staff are active in CES activities including CES training and case conferencing.	5	5
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted 75% or more of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	5	5
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted 50% or more of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	2	
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted less than 50% of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	-5	
Project receives 100% of referrals from CES for project placements	5	5
Agency is an access point for Coordinated Entry	5	0
3 - TOTAL SCORE	15	

4 - HMIS Data Quality-Personal Identifying Information APR Q06a-6d sum of error percentage: (up to 10 points)	YES	Score
0-5% error rate over-all score (0% Excellent!)	10	10
6-10% error rate over-all score	3	
Great than 15% error rate over-all score (Applicants should provide information on any extenuating circumstances with data quality including number of undocumented clients served. PAC may sore 2 points with extenuating circumstances explanation.)	-5	
4 - TOTAL SCORE	10	

5 - Funds Expended APR (up to 5 points)	Yes	Score

95% or higher	5	
94% - 90% (92%)	2	2
80% or lower (Applicants should provide information on any extenuating circumstances with funds expended. PAC may score 2 points with extenuating circumstances explanation.	-5	
5 - TOTAL SCORE	2	

6 - Program Management (up to 15 points)	YES	Score
No HUD Audit/APR Findings or Concerns or any concerns noted were satisfactorily rectified.	5	5
No SDHHC Audit/APR Findings or Concerns or any SDHHC finding were satisfactorily rectified.	5	5
APR not submitted to HUD on time. Submissions reviewed in SAGE to document timeliness of submission.	-5	0
APR rejected by HUD and not corrected and resubmitted within 30 days. Submissions reviewed in SAGE to document. (APR Rejected by HUD and not resubmitted within 30 days)	-5	-5
Utilization rate unit Q02. below 85% for months listed on APR (Attach explanation to application materials for extenuating circumstances. PAC may award 2 points for extenuating circumstances).	-5	0
Timeliness. APR Q06e. 90% of start and exit client records completed within 6 days. (No new or excited participants)	5	5
6 - TOTAL SCORE	10	

7 – Participant Barriers & Project Performance (up to 65 points)		Score
1. Equity & Project Barriers Analysis	YES	
1A. Project application submission included an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, and domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps	10	

to eliminate the identified barriers (Either 1, A, B, or C used for scoring purposes).		
1B. Project application submission included an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, or domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. Submission was received but, analysis was vague, limited in scope and lacking information.	5	5
1C. Project application submission did not include an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, or domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. Submission was received but, analysis was vague, limited in scope and/or lacking information.	0	

2. Severity of Participant Barriers (Scoring by Project Type)		
PSH—Percentage of participant households served chronically homeless (APR 26a)		
(100% Chronic) 75% and more	20	20
55%-74%	15	
50%-54%	10	
40%-49%	5	
39% or less	0	
RRH—Percentage of participants with at least one mental or physical condition at start (APR 13a2.)		
60%-100%	20	
40%-59%	15	
29%-39%	10	
25%-28%	5	
0%-19%	0	

3. Exits to Permanent Housing (Scoring by Project Type)		
PSH - Percent of participants who remain in or exit to PH (APR 23c)		
(100% Remained) 90% or higher	25	25
80%-89%	20	
85%-88%	15	
80%-84%	10	
75%-79%	5	

Less than 75%		0	
RRH - Percent exited to Positive Destinations (APR 23c)			
90% or higher		25	
80%-89%		20	
75%-79%		15	
70%-74%		10	
67%-69%		5	
Less than 67%		0	
4. New or Increased Income and Earned Income (Scoring by Project Type)			
PSH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)			
8% and higher		2.5	0
5%-7%		1.5	
Less than 5%		0	
PSH Project Stayers: New or increased other (non-employment) income (APR 19a.1)			
50% and higher		2.5	0
25%-49%		1.5	
Less than 25%		0	
PSH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)			
(No project Leavers) 8% and higher		2.5	2.5
5%-7%		1.5	
Less than 5%		0	
RRH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)			
25% and higher		5	
19%-24%		2.5	
Less than 18%		0	
PSH Project Leavers: New or increased any income (total income) (APR 19a.2)			
(No project Leavers) 50% and higher		2.5	
25%-49%		1.5	2.5
Less than 25%		0	
RRH Project Leavers: New or increased total income (APR 19a.2)			
20% and higher		5	
15%-19%		2.5	
Less than 15%		0	
7 - TOTAL SCORE		55	
8 – Completion of Application (0 or up to -10 points)			**Score**
Is the application complete and accurate?			
Yes		0	0
No		-5	
Are all required attachments provided?			

			Yes	0	0
			No	-5	
8 - TOTAL SCORE					0
9 – New Project Application Narrative Response (145 points)					
New Projects are not scored for sections 1, 3-7. Section 9 scoring takes the place of sections 1, 3-7 for new projects.					
How many years has the organization been operating?					
9- New Project Applicants Only - DOCUMENTATION MUST BE SUBMITTED TO EVIDENCE THE FOLLOWING VIA NARRATIVE RESPONSES:				**Score**	
1. Document the partnerships your agency currently has with mainstream service providers that will support the efforts of this project.			0-25 points		
2. Document the need for the services and or housing being provided.			0-25 points		
3. Document that the new project will not duplicate services already being provided in the community.			0-25 points		
4. If applicable, document agency's previous performance in serving survivors of domestic violence (not scored but, required for DV projects).			Not Scored		
5. Document how this project will help the overall performance of the homeless system including ensuring flow through the process, allowing clients to enter into permanent housing more rapidly with support opportunities.			0-25 points		
6. If applicable, document the services that are tailored to assist domestic violence survivors to connect or reconnect to permanent housing (not scored but, required for DV projects).			Not Scored		
7. Document how this proposed project will fill identified gap(s) in the current CoC System.			0-45 points		
9 - New Application Narrative Total Score:					
APPLICATION GRAND TOTAL SCORE					**122/155**

Additional Items Obtained from APR completed by Ranking Team:
- As documented in the most recent APR, the amount of HUD CoC funding expended during the program year $_____33,222_____.
- Number of clients _____4_____ and number of households ___4_____ served during the program year.

Notes: $8,306 per household average

September 12, 2022

HUD SNAPS Office CoC Competition Team
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Washington, D.C.

RE: 1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application

Please find attached to this cover letter the Scored Renewal Project Application (1E-2a.) submitted from SD-500 CoC Collaborative Applicant to HUD for the FY2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
Continuum of Care Administrator
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
SD-500 CoC – South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium
PO Box 1237, Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

Exhibit A – Scored Forms
Applicant: Sioux Falls Housing & Redevelopment Commission
Project: FY2022 4-unit S+C Grant (SD0016L8T002107)

1 – CoC Participation (up to 30 points)	YES	SCORE
Agency participated in 100% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	10	10
Agency participated in 75% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	7.5	
Agency participated in 50% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	5	
Agency participated in 25% of SDHHC quarterly meetings (SDHHC attendance sheet) September 2021 – June 2022.	2.5	
Project operates as housing first/low barrier approach (documented via administrative plan) (Appendix D, page 104 Admin Plan)	10	0
Agency participation in SDHHC committee(s) please attach an explanation of applicant's staff participation in SDHHC formal committees including staff name and committee(s) to earn full points. Time period July 2021 – June 2022.	10	10
1 - TOTAL SCORE	20	

2 - Program Type (up to 10 points)	Points	Score
Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronic Homeless Individuals and families	10	10
Permanent Supportive Housing - Other, prioritization plan for Chronic Preferences	5	
Rapid Re-Housing Program or TH-RRH Program for Homeless Families, Youth, or Individuals	10	
Rapid Rehousing or Transitional Housing – Rapid Rehousing Program for Victims of Domestic Violence and/or sex trafficking	10	
Transitional Housing - Other	5	

Supportive Services Only – (Street Outreach)	10	
2 - TOTAL SCORE	10	

3 - Coordinated Entry System (up to 20 points)	**YES**	**Score**
Coordinated Entry System Participation – agency staff are active in CES activities including CES training and case conferencing.	5	5
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted 75% or more of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	5	5
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted 50% or more of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	2	
July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, Agency has accepted less than 50% of CES referrals (HMIS CES Referral Report)	-5	
Project receives 100% of referrals from CES for project placements	5	5
Agency is an access point for Coordinated Entry	5	0
3 - TOTAL SCORE	15	

4 - HMIS Data Quality-Personal Identifying Information APR Q06a-6d sum of error percentage: (up to 10 points)	**YES**	**Score**
0-5% error rate over-all score **(0% Excellent!)**	10	10
6-10% error rate over-all score	3	
Great than 15% error rate over-all score (Applicants should provide information on any extenuating circumstances with data quality including number of undocumented clients served. PAC may sore 2 points with extenuating circumstances explanation.)	-5	
4 - TOTAL SCORE	10	

5 - Funds Expended APR (up to 5 points)	**Yes**	**Score**

95% or higher	5	
94% - 90% (92%)	2	2
80% or lower (Applicants should provide information on any extenuating circumstances with funds expended. PAC may score 2 points with extenuating circumstances explanation.	-5	
5 - TOTAL SCORE	2	

6 - Program Management (up to 15 points)	YES	Score
No HUD Audit/APR Findings or Concerns or any concerns noted were satisfactorily rectified.	5	5
No SDHHC Audit/APR Findings or Concerns or any SDHHC finding were satisfactorily rectified.	5	5
APR not submitted to HUD on time. Submissions reviewed in SAGE to document timeliness of submission.	-5	0
APR rejected by HUD and not corrected and resubmitted within 30 days. Submissions reviewed in SAGE to document. (APR Rejected by HUD and not resubmitted within 30 days)	-5	-5
Utilization rate unit Q02. below 85% for months listed on APR (Attach explanation to application materials for extenuating circumstances. PAC may award 2 points for extenuating circumstances).	-5	0
Timeliness. APR Q06e. 90% of start and exit client records completed within 6 days. (No new or excited participants)	5	5
6 - TOTAL SCORE	10	

7 – Participant Barriers & Project Performance (up to 65 points)		Score
1. Equity & Project Barriers Analysis	YES	
1A. Project application submission included an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, and domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps	10	

to eliminate the identified barriers (Either 1, A, B, or C used for scoring purposes).			
1B. Project application submission included an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, or domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. **Submission was received but, analysis was vague, limited in scope and lacking information.**		5	**5**
1C. Project application submission <u>did not</u> include an analysis of barriers to participation in the project faced by persons of different races and ethnicities (particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population), and persons experiencing mental illness, substance use, chronic health conditions, or domestic violence and project described how it has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. Submission was received but, analysis was vague, limited in scope and/or lacking information.		0	

2. Severity of Participant Barriers (Scoring by Project Type)			
PSH—Percentage of participant households served chronically homeless (APR 26a)			
(100% Chronic) 75% and more	20	**20**	
55%-74%	15		
50%-54%	10		
40%-49%	5		
39% or less	0		
RRH—Percentage of participants with at least one mental or physical condition at start (APR 13a2.)			
60%-100%	20		
40%-59%	15		
29%-39%	10		
25%-28%	5		
0%-19%	0		

3. Exits to Permanent Housing (Scoring by Project Type)			
PSH - Percent of participants who remain in or exit to PH (APR 23c)			
(100% Remained) 90% or higher	25	**25**	
80%-89%	20		
85%-88%	15		
80%-84%	10		
75%-79%	5		

Less than 75%	0	
RRH - Percent exited to Positive Destinations (APR 23c)		
90% or higher	25	
80%-89%	20	
75%-79%	15	
70%-74%	10	
67%-69%	5	
Less than 67%	0	
4. New or Increased Income and Earned Income (Scoring by Project Type)		
PSH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)		
8% and higher	2.5	0
5%-7%	1.5	
Less than 5%	0	
PSH Project Stayers: New or increased other (non-employment) income (APR 19a.1)		
50% and higher	2.5	0
25%-49%	1.5	
Less than 25%	0	
PSH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)		
(No project Leavers) 8% and higher	2.5	2.5
5%-7%	1.5	
Less than 5%	0	
RRH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)		
25% and higher	5	
19%-24%	2.5	
Less than 18%	0	
PSH Project Leavers: New or increased any income (total income) (APR 19a.2)		
(No project Leavers) 50% and higher	2.5	
25%-49%	1.5	2.5
Less than 25%	0	
RRH Project Leavers: New or increased total income (APR 19a.2)		
20% and higher	5	
15%-19%	2.5	
Less than 15%	0	
7 - TOTAL SCORE	55	
8 – Completion of Application (0 or up to -10 points)		**Score**
Is the application complete and accurate?		
Yes	0	0
No	-5	
Are all required attachments provided?		

		Yes	0	0
		No	-5	
8 - TOTAL SCORE				**0**
9 – New Project Application Narrative Response (145 points)				
New Projects are not scored for sections 1, 3-7. Section 9 scoring takes the place of sections 1, 3-7 for new projects.				
How many years has the organization been operating?				
9- New Project Applicants Only - DOCUMENTATION MUST BE SUBMITTED TO EVIDENCE THE FOLLOWING VIA NARRATIVE RESPONSES:				**Score**
1. Document the partnerships your agency currently has with mainstream service providers that will support the efforts of this project.			0-25 points	
2. Document the need for the services and or housing being provided.			0-25 points	
3. Document that the new project will not duplicate services already being provided in the community.			0-25 points	
4. If applicable, document agency's previous performance in serving survivors of domestic violence (not scored but, required for DV projects).			Not Scored	
5. Document how this project will help the overall performance of the homeless system including ensuring flow through the process, allowing clients to enter into permanent housing more rapidly with support opportunities.			0-25 points	
6. If applicable, document the services that are tailored to assist domestic violence survivors to connect or reconnect to permanent housing (not scored but, required for DV projects).			Not Scored	
7. Document how this proposed project will fill identified gap(s) in the current CoC System.			0-45 points	
9 - New Application Narrative Total Score:				
APPLICATION GRAND TOTAL SCORE				**122/155**

Additional Items Obtained from APR completed by Ranking Team:

- As documented in the most recent APR, the amount of HUD CoC funding expended during the program year $_____33,222_____.
- Number of clients _____4____ and number of households ___4_____ served during the program year.

Notes: $8,306 per household average

September 13, 2022

HUD SNAPS Office CoC Competition Team
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Washington, D.C.

RE: 1E-5a. Projects Accepted-Notification Outside of e-snaps

Please find attached to this cover letter the Projects Accepted-Notification Outside of e-snaps
(1E-5a.) submitted from SD-500 CoC Collaborative Applicant to HUD for the FY2022 Continuum of Care
(CoC) Program Competition.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
Continuum of Care Administrator
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
SD-500 CoC – South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium
PO Box 1237, Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

From: Davis Schofield
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 1:19 PM
To: Lysa Allison (lallison@cornerstonemission.org) <lallison@cornerstonemission.org>; Maryann Leanna <mleanna@cornerstonemission.org>
Subject: Cornerstone Rescue Mission - Cornerstone Apts. PSH - Notification of CoC Project Acceptance
Importance: High

September 13, 2022

Lysa Allison
Cornerstone Rescue Mission
Rapid City, SD 57709

Dear Ms. Allison:

Congratulations and thank you for your commitment.

For the 2022 Continuum of Care application, HUD has tasked the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of SD-500 CoC – SD Housing for Homeless Consortium with establishing priority and ranking criteria for all CoC applications, new and renewal. The CoC Ranking and Selection Process and project application scores can be found at CoC Consolidated Application | SDHDA. All completed applications were scored by the PAC to determine which projects will be placed in Tier 1, which is anticipated to be fully funded by HUD or Tier 2, which will be funded if SDHHC's Collaborative Application's score is competitive and if there are sufficient resources available.

This letter is to inform you that your renewal application for Cornerstone Rescue Mission – Cornerstone Apartments Permanent Supportive Housing has been accepted into the 2022 CoC Competition and funding for the project was placed in both Tier 1 ($3,452) and 2 Tier ($68,734). The Project applicant score was 59 points.

If you have concerns regarding how your application was scored and reviewed, you may file a written appeal at davis@sdhda.org , to be received by September 25, 2022. Any appeals received after that date will not be considered eligible for review. Additional details on the appeal process are available at https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/. Formal appeals to HUD may be placed via snapsappeals@hud.gov.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

From: Davis Schofield
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 1:17 PM
To: Thomas Stanage (Thomas.Stanage@lcbhs.net) <Thomas.Stanage@lcbhs.net>; Brenda Hoxeng (brenda.hoxeng@lcbhs.net) <brenda.hoxeng@lcbhs.net>; Ashley Van Donselaar (Ashley.vandonselaar@lcbhs.net) <Ashley.vandonselaar@lcbhs.net>
Cc: Davis Schofield - SDHDA (davis@sdhda.org) mailto:Ashley.vandonselaar@lcbhs.net
Subject: Lewis and Clark Behavioral Health - Housing Project PSH - Notification of CoC Project Acceptance
Importance: High

September 13, 2022

Dr. Thomas Stanage
Lewis and Clark Behavioral Health Services, Inc.
Madison, SD 57104

Dear Dr. Stanage:

Congratulations and thank you for your commitment.

For the 2022 Continuum of Care application, HUD has tasked the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of SD-500 CoC – SD Housing for Homeless Consortium with establishing priority and ranking criteria for all CoC applications, new and renewal. The CoC Ranking and Selection Process and project application scores can be found at CoC Consolidated Application | SDHDA. All completed applications were scored by the PAC to determine which projects will be placed in Tier 1, which is anticipated to be fully funded by HUD or Tier 2, which will only be funded if SDHHC's Collaborative Application's score is competitive and if there is sufficient resources available.

This letter is to inform you that your renewal applications for Lewis and Clark Behavioral Health Services, Inc. has been accepted into the 2022 CoC Competition and placed in Tier 1 ($152,957). The score received for the application was 87.

If you have concerns regarding how your application was scored and reviewed, you may file a written appeal and deliver to davis@sdhda.org , to be received by September 25, 2022. Any appeals received after that date will not be considered eligible for review. Additional details on the appeal process are available at https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/. Formal appeals to HUD may be placed via snapsappeals@hud.gov.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

From: Davis Schofield
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 1:12 PM
To: Karl Fulmer (k_fulmer@siouxfallshousing.org) <k_fulmer@siouxfallshousing.org>; Hunter Burns (H_burns@siouxfallshousing.org) <H_burns@siouxfallshousing.org>
Cc: Davis Schofield - SDHDA (davis@sdhda.org) <davis@sdhda.org>
Subject: SFHRC - 2022 CoC Applications - Notice of
Importance: High

September 13, 2022

Karl Fulmer
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission
Sioux Falls, SD 57104

Dear Mr. Fulmer:

Congratulations and thank you for your commitment.

For the 2022 Continuum of Care application, HUD has tasked the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of SD-500 CoC - SD Housing for Homeless Consortium with establishing priority and ranking criteria for all CoC applications, new and renewal. The CoC Ranking and Selection Process can be found at CoC Consolidated Application | SDHDA. All completed applications were scored by the PAC to determine which projects will be placed in Tier 1, which is anticipated to be fully funded by HUD or Tier 2, which will only be funded if SDHHC's Collaborative Application's score is competitive and if there are sufficient resources available.

This letter is to inform you that your renewal applications for Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission - FY2022 Safe Home Grant ($42,173) with received score of 109, FY2022 4-unit S+C Grant ($37,082) with received score of 122, and FY2022 29-unit S+C Grant ($273,288) with a received score of 91 all have all been accepted into the 2022 CoC Competition and all three will be placed in Tier 1.

If you have concerns regarding how your application was scored and reviewed, you may file a written appeal via email to davis@sdhda.org, to be received by September 25, 2022. Any appeals received after that date will not be considered eligible for review. Additional details on the appeal process are available at https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/. Formal appeals to HUD may be placed via snapsappeals@hud.gov.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

From: Davis Schofield
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 1:09 PM
To: Chas Olson (chas@sdhda.org) <chas@sdhda.org>
Cc: Davis Schofield - SDHDA (davis@sdhda.org) <davis@sdhda.org>
Subject: SDHDA - CoC HMIS & CoC CES - CoC Notification of Acceptance

September 13, 2022

Chas Olson
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
Pierre, SD 57501

Dear Mr. Olson:

Congratulations and thank you for your commitment.

For the 2022 Continuum of Care application, HUD has tasked the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of SD-500 CoC - SD Housing for Homeless Consortium with establishing priority and ranking criteria for all CoC applications, new and renewal. The CoC Ranking and Selection Process can be found at CoC Consolidated Application | SDHDA. All completed applications were scored by the PAC to determine which projects will be placed in Tier 1, which is anticipated to be fully funded by HUD or Tier 2, which will only be funded if SDHHC's Collaborative Application's score is competitive and if there are sufficient resources available.

This letter is to inform you that your renewal applications for South Dakota Housing Development Authority - SD-500 CoC HMIS FY 2022 ($39,684), and SD-500 CoC SSO CES FY 2022 ($105,144) have been accepted into the 2022 CoC Competition and will be placed in Tier 1. The application each received 155 points.

If you have concerns regarding how your application was scored and reviewed, you may file a written appeal to SDHDA, to be received by September 25, 2022. Any appeals received after that date will not be considered eligible for review. Additional details on the appeal process are available at https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/. Formal appeals to HUD may be placed via snapsappeals@hud.gov.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

September 13, 2022

Eric Kunzweiler
Inter-Lakes Community Action Partnership
Madison, SD 57104

Dear Mr. Kunzweiler:

Congratulations and thank you for your commitment.

For the 2022 Continuum of Care application, HUD has tasked the Policy and Advisory Committee (PAC) of SD-500 CoC - SD Housing for Homeless Consortium with establishing priority and ranking criteria for all CoC applications, new and renewal. The CoC Ranking and Selection Process and project scores can be found at CoC Consolidated Application | SDHDA. All completed applications were scored by the PAC to determine which projects will be placed in Tier 1, which is anticipated to be fully funded by HUD, or Tier 2 which will only be funded if SDHHC's Collaborative Application's score is competitive and if there is sufficient resources available.

This letter is to inform you that your renewal application for Inter-Lakes Community Action Partnership has been accepted into the 2022 CoC Competition and will be placed in Tier 1 ($652,160). The application score received was 93.

If you have concerns regarding how your application was scored and reviewed, you may file a written appeal and email to davis@sdhda.org , to be received by September 25, 2022. Any appeals received after that date will not be considered eligible for review. Additional details on the appeal process are available at https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/. Formal appeals to HUD may be placed via snapsappeals@hud.gov.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
South Dakota Housing Development Authority
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

September 14, 2022

HUD SNAPS Office CoC Competition Team
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
Washington, D.C.

RE: 1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects

Please find attached to this cover letter the Final Application Scores for All Projects (1E-5b.) submitted from SD-500 CoC Collaborative Applicant to HUD for the FY2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition.

Sincerely,

Davis Schofield
Continuum of Care Administrator
On Behalf of the Policy and Advisory Committee
SD-500 CoC – South Dakota Housing for the Homeless Consortium
PO Box 1237, Pierre, SD 57501
davis@sdhda.org
605-773-3445

2022 Final Project Scores for all Projects

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Score	Project Rank	Award Amount	Project Accepted
South Dakota Housing Development Authority	SD-500 CoC HMIS FY 2022	155	1	$39,684	Y
South Dakota Housing Development Authority	SD-500 CoC SSO CES FY 2022	155	2	$105,144	Y
Sioux Falls Housing & Redevelopment Commission	FY2022 4-unit S+C Grant	122	3	$37,082	Y
Sioux Falls Housing & Redevelopment Commission	FY2022 Safe Home Grant	109	4	$42,173	Y
Inter-Lakes Community Action Program	Heartland House Rapid Rehousing Program	93	5	$652,160	Y
Sioux Falls Housing & Redevelopment Commission	FY2022 29-unit S+C Grant	91	6	$273,288	Y
Lewis Clark Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	Lewis and Clark Housing Project	87	7	$152,957	Y
Cornerstone Rescue Mission	Cornerstone Apartments Permanent Support Housing	59	8	$72,186	Y

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Score	Project Rank	Award Amount	Project Accepted
South Dakota Housing Development Authority South Dakota Housing Development Authority	SD-500 CoC Planning Grant FY 2022	NA	NA	$58,686	Y